



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/870,027	05/29/2001	Jinhai Wang	3586.04-1	6751		
7:	590 06/10/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER			
Howard M. Peters			LUKTON	LUKTON, DAVID		
PETERS, VERNY, JONES & SCHMITT, L.L.P						
425 Sherman Avenue,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Suite 230			1653	1653		
Palo Alto, CA	94306		DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005	DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/870,027	WANG, JINHAI	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Lukton	1653	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
DEDLY EILED OF May 2005 FAILS TO DLAGE THIS AD	DUCATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE	

7.47.001 y 7.00.011	09/0/0,02/	***************************************				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David Lukton	1653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 25 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a citice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO			
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>25 May 2005</u>. A brief date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a 						
appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl	y must be filed within the time peri	od set forth in 37 CFF	₹ 41.37(a).			
AMENDMENTS 3. M. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection.	but prior to the date of filing a brio	f will not be entered	haaayaa			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		, oo to'u o anno.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ient canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>37,38 and 40-69</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ut before or on the date of filing a N	ulation of Apparal will r	not be entered			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by see attached sheets	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:			
see attached sheets. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:						
			ļ			

Advisory Action

Claims 37, 38, 40-69 were pending at the time of the final Office action (mailed 2/23/05).

The response filed 5/25/05 directs various amendments to the claims. However, this amendment will not be entered, as it raises new issues. Consider, for example, the following new issues that would be raised, were the amendment to be entered:

- Claim 37 was previously directed to a method of inhibiting a caspase, but there was no requirement that the inhibition occur *in vivo*. Now claim 37 mandates that the inhibition occur *in vivo*, and the claim requires contacting the caspase with the indicated compound. As such, there is at least one process step missing from the claim. Does the claim require administration to a subject, or does the contacting occur without administration? The same issue applies in the case of claim 38.
- Claim 40 now recites that the compound is administered "to a mammal in need of therapy". This renders the claim indefinite as to the nature of the therapy that is needed.
- Claim 54 now recites a method for caspase inhibition according to claim 38. However, claim 38 is not drawn to a method for caspase inhibition; accordingly, the claim dependence is not proper.
- In claim 54, the last structure which applicants would like to introduce lacks an amide hydrogen atom.

Thus, the amendment will not be entered. And even if the amendment were to be entered, the §112, first paragraph rejection of claim 47 (new matter) would be maintained. More importantly, the §112, first paragraph rejection (enablement) of claims 38, 40-53, 64-69 would be maintained. Applicants have not traversed either of these §112, first

paragraph rejections, and so they are maintained without further comment.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lukton whose telephone number is 571-272-0952. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber, can be reached at 571-272-0925. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

DAVID LUKTON PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 1800